

A Closer Look: Indira Singh talks about Ptech

August 3rd, 2018

In a 2005 interview that still deserves attention, Michael Corbin talked with Indira Singh, a 9/11 whistleblower from Wall Street. During the conversation, Singh connected the dots and named the names regarding Ptech – a highly suspicious software firm that played an important role surrounding the events of September 11th, 2001. Lars Schall presents today a comprehensive transcript of Singh’s testimony.

By Lars Schall

The conversation between radio talk show host Michael Corbin and Indira Singh took place on October 28, 2005. In the last couple of days, I’ve arranged a comprehensive transcript of their talk relating to Ptech.

In addition to that transcript and the edited audio file of the radio broadcast that goes along with it, I would like to suggest that you also take a closer look at these following items regarding [IT specialist Indira Singh](#), who worked on Wall Street from 1975 until 2002, and her investigation into the computer software company Ptech:

Bonnie Faulkner – Guns & Butter (April 2005 on KPFA in Berkeley): Indira Singh, PTech and the 911 software – [Part One](#) / [Part Two](#);

[James Corbett: “9/11 and Cyberterrorism – Did the real ‘cyber 9/11’ happen on 9/11?” \(July 2009\);](#)

[Christopher Byron: “The Lair of The Octopus” \(September 2001\);](#)

[Review of Loretta Napoleoni: “Modern Jihad – Tracing the Dollars Behind the Terror Networks” \(Pluto Press, 2003\);](#)

and:

[“4 A Closer Look...at Indira Singh’s unaired interviews with Michael Corbin”.](#)

A Closer Look: Michael Corbin interviews Indira Singh (Originally broadcasted on October 28, 2005).

The Interview: [AUDIO RECORDING \(Edited\)](#).

Introduction to the show – Michael Corbin: ...[W]e’re going to have a really, really powerful program. The watchword today is political corruption...that’s kind of like the watchword everyday – but political corruption is what we’re going to be looking at today. We’re going to look at some significant corruption. And what we’re going to be doing is we’re going to be talking with a person here, you’re familiar with her [Indira Singh], but this material you’re going to hear today has never ever been published or broadcast, and it is the culmination of several years of intense research.

It’s kind of interesting that the program that we’re doing is falling on the day that it is today, October the 28th; because Special Prosecutor Patrick Fitzgerald had an indictment in his hand Friday morning and was standing before District Judge Thomas Hogan, who must sign off on the first official charges in the leak of a CIA operative’s identity more than 2 years ago. Now, I’m reading this article from Fox News:

„Washington Post reporter Carol Leonnig told Fox News that Fitzgerald was set to present the charge against Vice President Dick Cheney’s top aide, I. Lewis ‘Scooter’ Libby, later Friday morning. The indictment was expected to charge Libby with a felony for making false statements to mislead the Grand Jury.“

This is just the tip of iceberg, folks.

„Fox News has learned that Libby will step down as Cheney’s Chief of Staff and a replacement will be named as early as Saturday. The Vice President’s office will release a statement when the indictment is handed down. President Bush is expected to remark on the case in this afternoon today. The charge is based on the assertion that Libby was not up front with the Grand Jury about when he first learned the identity of CIA operative Valerie Plame, sources told Fox News on Friday. Libby had first told the Grand Jury that he learned her identity from reporters, but his own notes later showed he learned her identity from Cheney. Karl Rove, President Bush’s top political aide, is being spared from criminal charges on Friday, but the possibility he would later be charged remained open.“

This is very interesting, folks.

„The Special Council has advised Mr. Rove that he has made no decision about whether or not to bring charges and that Mr. Rove’s status has not changed. Mr. Rove will continue to cooperate fully with the Special Council’s efforts to complete the investigation. ’We are confident that when the Special Council finishes his work, he will conclude that Mr. Rove has done nothing wrong.’“

That’s according to Rove’s attorney, Robert Luskin, who said that in a statement that he released Friday.

„Reports have indicated that Fitzgerald would keep Rove under investigation. According to a source familiar with the court, the Grand Jury, set to expire on Friday, is a regular Grand Jury that has already had one extension of its term. It cannot be extended beyond this day. That means, Fitzgerald would have to call for a new Jury or simply proceed without any Jury at all if he wanted to continue to pursue Rove.“

You see how this game is played. This is a game.

„Documents relating to the case were expected to be released at or shortly after noon EDT on Friday. The documents were being released at the Department of Justice and the U.S. District Court. Last week, Fitzgerald set up a website for the public to view documents relating to the investigation. Fitzgerald is holding a 2 PM EDT conference [which is about noon today here Mountain Time] to discuss the Grand Jury’s activities. The White House said it would comment on Friday’s activities after Fitzgerald speaks.“

Now, that is just the surface of the magnitude of this problem. Living true to our name, “*A Closer Look*”, we are going to take a closer look at what’s really going on here. Because a lot of people are saying, “Well, sure, a couple of people got killed in the outing of Valerie Plame. But so what?” Well, I’ve got to tell you that it goes much, much deeper than that. As we know, Patrick Fitzgerald is the Special Prosecutor in what is being dubbed as the Plamegate incident. Now, the significance of Plamegate in the bigger picture destroyed a global Weapons of Mass Destruction search team, which is kind of a pattern of the Bush Crime Family cabal in the last 50 years – we’re talking about conspiracy, collusion, cover-up. For example, one angle that we’re going to do today, we’re going to be looking at core sets of patterns and also the same high-level operatives, about 50-200 of them, old and new organizations, and a new cadre of indebted, controlled, political foot-soldiers. That is what lies ahead on the program today, because I will be joined here momentarily by Indira Singh.

As I said before, you know that name, Indira Singh, because...and I’m just going to give you a real short recap of who she is: Indira is an IT specialist, and she was working for JP Morgan Chase bank in New York. She’d been contracted by them to design an Artificial Intelligence software system that would work in real time in Chase Manhattan’s banks all over the world, and it would be something that would detect fraud at the teller level or what have you. And it was through that job, that contract that she had, that she learned about a company in Boston called Ptech.

Well, what you’ve heard so far, again, is just scratching the surface of a much, much bigger picture. And that is what we’re going to talk with her about today. And as I said before, this material has

never been made public, it has never been broadcast before, so, you're going to hear it here first on this program. So, without further ado, let's go to my guest, Indira Singh. Good morning, Indira, and thank you for being with me on the program today.

Indira Singh: Good morning, Michael. Thanks for having me back.

Michael Corbin: No problem. Now, what I did was I started out here talking about this Grand Jury and the Plamegate investigator Patrick Fitzgerald.

Indira Singh: Right.

Michael Corbin: And we're talking about the idea that, as I understand it, Scooter Libby is probably going to be indicted today, but they're holding off on Karl Rove. The kicker here, what I find really interesting and puzzling, is that the Grand Jury's term expires today.

Indira Singh: That's correct.

Michael Corbin: So, the only way that they can continue an investigation of Karl Rove is to do it, you know, kind of solo, without a Grand Jury, which I don't think is going to happen. And what I'd like to do is let's back into this conversation that we're gonna have today, let's start out with Patrick Fitzgerald. Who is this character?

Indira Singh: Well, Patrick Fitzgerald is someone that I've had in my crosshairs since he was involved with the "prosecution" of someone connected with Al-Qaeda in August of 2003. He led the prosecution into this person. But his affiliation with terror and Al-Qaeda-based terror goes way back. He, for instance, prosecuted World Trade Center '93 Sheikh [Omar Abdel] Rahman. And after that, he served on a team of prosecutors investigating Osama bin Laden.

Michael Corbin: Umm hmm.

Indira Singh: After that, he served as Chief Council in prosecutions related to the '98 U.S. Embassy bombings in Tanzania and Kenya. So, after 9/11, he shows up, and the very first 9/11 Al-Qaeda-related prosecution in the United States was to someone who ran Benevolence International Foundation. This person is Enaam Arnout, A-R-N-O-U-T. And your listeners can look up the significance of that. When he gave Enaam Arnout basically a free pass for the connections to Al-Qaeda and therefore 9/11, I knew we were in serious trouble.

Michael Corbin: Umm hmm.

Indira Singh: And here's how that happened. Benevolence International Foundation is mentioned in 9/11 lawsuits. They're on the terror list. The U.S. has a list of designated terror charities. And they're listed in the trillion dollar lawsuit brought by Motley, Ness [Loadholt, Richardson & Poole], and Gerson against the Saudis, and also in another 9/11 lawsuit. So, Benevolence International Foundation is huge. It's big. It was based in Chicago, but it had arms in Boston and down in Texas. Enaam Arnout was their main operative. Patrick Fitzgerald has been appointed or showed up at every significant terrorist related event in this country's history.

Michael Corbin: Right.

Indira Singh: And you would think that he has the inside architecture and links of these people very well understood by the time of this prosecution in 2003, and indeed he has, and that's exactly why he's significant. Because I believe, he basically got the judge to pin...the charges that he was sent to jail for or not was sent to jail for...was just basically the misutilization of charity funds. He sent to the charities the funds that were received to Muslim fighters in Bosnia and Chechnya – hardly a 9/11 indictment.

Michael Corbin: Umm hmm.

Indira Singh: When that happened, as you know, I was working with someone in Senator Grassley's office, a Secret Service agent, and because so the way we get these is by tracking the money, financial crimes, and Secret Service is Department of Treasury; but also it's more than that.

I believe he was appointed to find out what I knew and to clear it and to cover tracks.

Michael Corbin: Umm hmm.

Indira Singh: So, I told him basically that I was going to lay low and not name names and stop my investigation and my connecting the dots with the Ptech situation, depending on what happened with Enaam Arnout.

Michael Corbin: Right.

Indira Singh: When Enaam Arnout was given 10 years for just, “Oops...I sent the money to Chechnya, instead of somewhere else”, and Patrick Fitzgerald was the prosecutor there, I knew that this country was in serious trouble, really serious trouble. And I was looking at an E-mail that I sent to the Secret Service agent. I sent it on August 19, 2003. And I said, the deal’s off, the bet’s off. I said, this stinks of a coverup. I don’t know how big the coverup is yet, but I’m gonna find out. And the deal’s off. I’m gonna name names and I’m gonna start talking, and I did.

Michael Corbin: OK. Indira, hold that right there. We’re gonna take our break. When we come back, we will continue, OK?

Indira Singh: OK.

Michael Corbin: Alright. Indira Singh is my guest. And folks, again, brace yourselves, you’re going to hear quite a story today. As I’ve said before, this has not ever been broadcast or released in the public venue. You’re hearing the culmination of a several-year-long investigation by Indira Singh, my guest. And this does go to some very, very interesting and high places. We’re gonna take our break. When we come back, we will continue. I’m Michael Corbin. You’re listening to “*A Closer Look*”.

=BREAK=

Michael Corbin: ...”*A Closer Look*”. And again joining me this morning is my guest Indira Singh, a very courageous lady. She has forgone a very lucrative career to get to the truth about what happened on 9/11. And I can tell you, folks, her life has been an interesting journey since then. And she has really penetrated what I believe to be the real action and the real cabal about what is in charge, who is in charge, and where all of this goes. And as I’ve said earlier, this thing about Valerie Plame and the Plamegate incident is just the tip of the iceberg. Indira, welcome back. And again thank you for coming on the air today.

Indira Singh: Thank you, Michael.

Michel Corbin: Let’s start here, first of all: What is the significance of Plamegate in the bigger picture?

Indira Singh: Plamegate was the... well, basically Valerie Plame, wife of Joe Wilson, Ambassador to Niger, was a CIA operative. And her outing by Cheney, Rove, and company destroyed a global WMD, Weapons of Mass Destruction search team. A global one. These are the good guys that would catch terrorists who may have weapons that we don’t want them to have, such as neutron bombs. This was strategically masterminded at a very high level. The same names come up. And again, this will...the significance in my mind, the most important part is that it brilliantly shows the pattern of the, for the lack of a better word, Bush Crime Family cabal [for] the last 50 years. Their pattern of conspiracy, collusion, and cover-up utilize the same core set of patterns, the same high-level operatives, we’ve seen 50-200 at different levels, old and new organizations and political foot-soldiers. But at the highest level, we see Michael Ledeen, an Iran-Contra op. I think the tactical direction was by Karl Rove. And foot soldiers even include Ledeen’s daughter, Simone.

And the reason this is kind of interesting is because it ties back to earlier shows, the publicity, the actual outlet that outed it, was none other than Jeff Gannon, white house male prostitute, Talon News Agency, which was funded by Bobby Eberle, of GOP USA. And his leadership institute gave Gannon his land speed record 2-day journalism degree. They stuck him in the White House and one

of his sterling tasks was to publicize the outing of Valerie Plame. Going back to Michael Ledeen, this, right after 9/11, one of the things about this cabal as we know it it's bipartisan, we know it's transnational, and, indeed, this involves Italy, Niger, and other countries, and members of Bush 41's Iran-Contra operatives, Ledeen, [Manucher] Ghorbanifar. Ghorbanifar is or was an Iranian arms dealer with heavy ties to the Mossad, who facilitated Iran-Contra, and [Silvio] Berlusconi's Panorama magazine, which passed the forged documents to the US press.

We go back to a well-publicized and very troubling meeting that was held in late 2001 between Ledeen, Ghorbanifar, Larry Franklin, and Harold Rhode. These are people who are extremely high up in what we call the neocon thread of the cabal. And Ledeen, for instance, is of the American Enterprise Institute, which hosts another number of names. I believe Stephen Hadley, who was the National Security Advisor at that point, was there. And their links to Deputy Secretary of State, Richard Armitage. We're talking about a sterling cast of characters who are sitting down together in Italy talking about the documents, which we now know are forged.

Michael Corbin: Umm hmm.

Indira Singh: And we also have a good suspicion of who forged them. And the person we think supposedly committed suicide earlier in Italy this year. This brings us back to the same cast of characters that are affiliated with the American Enterprise Institute, which this year just reconvened something called the Committee on the Present Danger. And I refer to that as a neocon love fest with the usual suspects.

Michael Corbin: Umm hmm.

Indira Singh: And the day I met there was the day I got hit by a car in Herndon, Virginia. So I will put that down to coincidence right now but it really didn't do anything. In other words, it's very hard for me to think that was coincidental.

Michael Corbin: Right. Sure.

Indira Singh: Now, I believe that the purpose...at that point, they were negotiating the next step to get us into Iraq and Iran. And why would they wanna do that? When we step back and take a look at the significance of destroying a global WMD search team to getting us into a war in the Middle East, what really are they up to? Is it just about oil? And the answer is, as we know, no.

Michael Corbin: Yeah.

Indira Singh: When Ptech first fell in my lap, I spoke to some of the top experts in the world on terrorism financing and one of them, Loretta Napoleoni...everyone has their idea on it, but when you rationalize all these threads and ideas, it is clear that what we're being ushered into is nothing less than the age of terror. What that means is that...what is being globalized is the economy of terror, where every aspect of our economy, our daily lives, are mandated by a blueprint of terror.

Michael Corbin: So, it affects us all the way down to the consumer level, of course.

Indira Singh: All the way down to the consumer level. And you'll see this reflected by the RFID chip, by the Patriot Act 2 and 3 and 4 that are coming, and so on and so forth. Your every step will fall in a world that is controlled and monitored by extreme terror that has been engineered and set up by basically the Bush Crime Family, the cabal of 50 to 100, 200 top people, however, you wanna...

Michael Corbin: Right, right.

Indira Singh: And, you know, they're foot soldiers. I see Patrick Fitzgerald, for instance, much in the same way I see John Kerry when he investigated, and the other investigators of the big crimes in our nation's past, which were Iran-Contra and BCCI. These were all vehicles and venues that enable us to move to this point 20, 30, 40 years later.

Michael Corbin: Yeah.

Indira Singh: He's a foot soldier for them. And he reminds me of John Kerry, because John Kerry who was supposed to be a hero in the BCCI investigation, he prosecuted these things; he made sure that certain things were exposed, but certain things weren't, and...

Michael Corbin: Indira, hold that thought right there. We gotta take this break and when we come back, I wanna pick up on that thread, OK?

Indira Singh: Yes.

Michael Corbin: Indira Singh is my guest. And we're gonna not take calls at the moment, but later on in the program we will open the lines to your calls. I'm sure a lot of people will have some questions. Of course, this is a very big story. We'll be right back after the break. I'm Michael Corbin, and you're listening to "*A Closer Look*".

=BREAK=

Michael Corbin: ...and she is an incredibly courageous whistleblower because again, this woman has done a blue-ribbon investigation into the threads that run throughout the 9/11 tragedy that occurred in New York on September 11th, 2001. And what you're hearing here, folks, is exclusive material of her investigation. It goes into some incredible places. Indira, welcome back.

Indira Singh: Thank you, Michael.

Michael Corbin: OK. Let's jump back into where we were before we took the break.

Indira Singh: Yeah. We were speaking of Plamegate, the significance of that, and really the bottom line, we come across the usual suspects that feature high in the Bush Crime Family cabal, which, as we say, is bipartisan and transnational. And the significance of that is that American heroes were killed by that outing. The outing by someone like a Jeff Gannon, funded by Bobby Eberle's GOP USA, working for Karl Rove in the White House who just, I understand, got a free pass today.

Michael Corbin: By the way, Jeff Gannon listens to this program.

Indira Singh: Well, he should. And let's see if he has the brain cycles to understand these connections and their significance. Anyway, they destroyed a global WMD search team. Operatives were killed in the process of doing work against this terror cabal. And it was world-wide from Pakistan, different countries, and so on. And it really upset a lot of people within the CIA and a lot of them started coming out speaking, helping, giving information that would help investigations such as mine.

Michael Corbin: Umm hmm.

Indira Singh: So, that's the significance of that today. And, of course, then we focused on Patrick Fitzgerald. And in my book, he's just another John Kerry. I guess they're grooming the next generation because he's a Special Prosecutor and not an Independent Council, so he's not required to give a report on what he discovered. But he has the inside players, the cast of characters, and knows just how far to go so as not to upset the cabal.

Michael Corbin: Umm hmm.

Indira Singh: And that's exactly what he did. And I've been watching him since 2002-2003 for giving Enaam Arnout of Benevolence International Foundation a free pass. Because Enaam Arnout was the one ace in the hole they had that could connect...could bring this entire thing down. And of course, he's connected with the Muslim Brotherhood in Chicago and Boston and through that, directly to the members of Ptech and the 4 or 5 money laundering and smuggling companies that were affiliated with it.

Michael Corbin: OK.

Indira Singh: And with that, we should get into the core of what we have now. I've spoken on this program before about whistleblowing on Ptech which, by the way, has changed their name [into

GoAgile, Inc.], as all these companies that work with the cabal eventually do and they're in focus. As you know, a number of names were affiliated with Ptech. And I've always said that if Ptech is the one place that is you look, if you know what you're doing, the entire cast of characters will be revealed. And we do have a transnational, bipartisan cast of characters. I've spoken in the past about the Saudis that were involved with it, the Saudi bin Laden Group was heavily involved. There were four bin Ladens involved with Ptech. We all know that the bin Laden Group has very deep pocket ties to the Carlyle Group, to Bechtel, to Halliburton, and so on and so forth.

And we have Khalid bin Mahfouz, who is Osama's brother in-law despite his suing 28 authors. And he is a current business partner of former New Jersey Governor Thomas Kean, who is the very person George Bush appointed to chair the investigation of the September 11th terrorist acts. But what's really interesting about Governor Kean, as we've mentioned on this program before, is that he was in business with people involved with Ptech.

Michael Corbin: Right.

Indira Singh: And, you know, some of the other Saudis involved with Ptech was Mohammad Alamoudi, an investor convicted for terror financing, small convictions. Yaqub Mirza, who is the subject of a massive Treasury investigation, Operation Green Quest, that was stymied at every step. We have other people involved with Nimir Petroleum investing and Ptech, which goes back to bin Mahfouz. And of course, their boy, Yassin al-Qadi, a Saudi sheikh, who is a member of the Saudi royal family. He was the one that Bush's administration put on the terror list after 9/11. And someone asked me a good question. Why Yassin al-Qadi as he was such a buddy of George Bush and why not someone like Khalid bin Mahfouz? And my answer after investigating is basically, he was the only one they could put on there, the only token offering they could put on there since he was a foot soldier of the Saudis that could wear the trail to Bush and others and Cheney and the whole cabal could be managed quite nicely.

Michael Corbin: Umm hmm.

Indira Singh: Now, the significance of Ptech has...every time that I've brought up the issue, it's the people behind Ptech, not necessarily the software. The focus, including the Secret Service and [inaudible] wanted to place on it was always the software, that it was PROMIS version 2.

Michael Corbin: Umm hmm.

Indira Singh: And I'm sure your listeners all know what the PROMIS software was about and what happened to Danny Casolaro, who was investigating PROMIS, and he had discovered something called "The Octopus". Well, hold that thought, because we're gonna come back to the lair of "The Octopus" that comes all the way back to this.

Michael Corbin: OK.

Indira Singh: Now, all of these people mentioned here have very, very close ties to the Muslim Brotherhood. And we've spoken on this program before about what is the Muslim Brotherhood. And I wanna make it very clear now that they may be Muslims, but they're not Muslims in the sense that millions and millions of good people are Muslims, just as millions and millions of Christians are good Christians. They're not Muslims. They use Muslims and they use the Islamic religion to perpetrate their terrorist acts.

Michael Corbin: Right. Umm hmm.

Indira Singh: Muslim Brotherhood goes all the way back to World War II and Hitler and elements in the United States that banded with them. They're the ones, a Saudi-Egyptian group that funded... helped Hitler fund the concentration camps, for example. So, people should really understand who they are. They're terrorists. That transnational bipartisan terrorism that's been going on for that long.

Michael Corbin: Umm hmm.

Indira Singh: So, having said that, let's move on to the Muslim Brotherhood and 9/11. All these characters within Ptech were members of the Muslim Brotherhood including Enaam Arnout. But one of the things that I've never talked about openly, about what was actually going on behind Ptech, takes us right to George Bush. Basically, these names that Patrick Fitzgerald, who is a Chicago U.S. attorney, and Agent Robert Wright, who also was in charge of the Chicago FBI investigation into Yassin al-Qadi...

Michael Corbin: Umm hmm.

Indira Singh: You might remember that Agent Robert Wright knew of Fitzgerald, of course, and had said right after 9/11...he stood on the steps of the Capitol in June 2002 and he burst into tears and said if his investigation into Yassin al-Qadi and Ptech and BMI hadn't been shut down and stymied, there would not have been a 9/11.

Michael Corbin: Right.

Indira Singh: I keep coming back to that. What exactly did he know? And here's what he knew. We need to talk about the three...there were about three, I'm sorry, there were five people, it was in Ptech, who not only worked at extremely high levels in Ptech; they were, for example, high enough that there were one of two people that had access to the source code [of Ptech's software]. But they also ran something called Care International.

Now, the first question is, with the slew of charities on the 9/11 list designated as terror fronts, why was Care International left out? Now, this is not the big Care International. This was a Care International that was run out of Ptech. Care...this is the one that was based in Boston, Massachusetts. And what we found out about Care is that Care's addresses were the same addresses used by the Muslim Brotherhood organization that was located, for example, in Brighton, Massachusetts and down Prospect Street in Cambridge, Massachusetts.

We have the Ptech names affiliated with Ptech and Care International, what I call the Ptech Care International or the Muslim Brotherhood Care International. Suheil Laher was a cofounder and member of the Muslim Brotherhood and Ptech and Care International. Muhammed Mubayyid was the Treasurer, member of the Muslim Brotherhood and Ptech, and he was also connected to the World Trade Center bombing of '93. I wonder how Special Prosecutor Patrick Fitzgerald could have missed that in his prosecution of Enaam Arnout.

Michael Corbin: Umm Hmm.

Indira Singh: Muhammad Akra was a President of Care International. Now, these people were under investigation by the Boston FBI prior to 9/11. Akra himself was on the FBI terror watch list. Another name that came up was Samir al-Monla. All of these people were involved with the core people that founded and ran Ptech. Now, on September 5th, 2001, one week before 9/11, two Muslim Brotherhood companies, and I will call Ptech a Muslim Brotherhood company, and InfoCom, they were related by these terrorist connections that included Enaam Arnout. Now, on September 5th, Ptech was moving. And I believe in that move, they destroyed and hid a lot of records. And a technology company in Richardson, Texas by the name of InfoCom was raided.

Michael Corbin: Umm hmm.

Indira Singh: Now, InfoCom is very interesting, because they were ISP to many, many of these organizations that were involved with bringing us a number of terrorist attacks, including 9/11.

Michael Corbin: Umm hmm.

Indira Singh: And interestingly, it was ISP to Anthony Elgindy, the person that allegedly placed the airline 9/11 "puts" and who was the subject of other lawsuits and convicted several times by the SEC, and so on.

Michael Corbin: Wasn't this InfoCom also involved in distribution of child pornography, or was that another outfit?

Indira Singh: They were ISP to an organization involved with pornography.

Michael Corbin: I heard that. I just wanted to ask you if that was true.

Indira Singh: Yeah.

Michael Corbin: OK. Go ahead.

Indira Singh: Now, InfoCom was also by the way ISP to Al-Jazeera and we believe that they were shut down by the FBI simply because there were some very good people there that would have spilled the beans. And so, there were a lot of reasons to shut them down.

Now, let's go back to Boston, and the Muslim Brotherhood lair is there. Prospect Street Muslim Brotherhood hangout was also where Dr. Osama Kandil was hanging out with the Care and Ptech people. Kandil runs Biofarm in Herndon, Virginia and that was a matter of great concern, because of the bioterror aspects of this whole terror blueprint. Muhammad Akra, who was President of Care. Interesting thing was he had a base in College Station, Texas. These guys were not only in Richardson, but in College Station, Texas. And you know what college that refers to; the college of Texas A&M.

Michael Corbin: A&M. Yeah.

Indira Singh: Which is Bush's...

Michael Corbin: ...alma mater.

Indira Singh: ...alma mater.

Michael Corbin: Yeah.

Indira Singh: Well, prior to 9/11, Akra...everyone is moving. The week before 9/11, all of these guys in the Muslim Brotherhood are on the move. He flees to the Sudan. Cancels his credit cards. And there is another Akra in Dallas, who is from Nigeria. This is kind of interesting, because this is part of the threads that connect for me into this rope of terror that's got this nation and the world under its grip; now, we're gonna probably come back to this, but Nigeria features very heavily in the Cheney money laundering, bribery scandal that the French are going to probably indict him for.

Michael Corbin: Umm hmm.

Indira Singh: Now, going back to Dr. Kandil, who is with the Muslim Brotherhood and with all the Ptech people and Care International people, he's connected with Dr. Sami [Amin] Al-Arian of Tampa. So, Kandil and Akra are collocated at the same address in Cambridge, and so are the Ptech people.

Michael Corbin: Umm hmm.

Indira Singh: And what else do they have in common? What they have in common is in this warehouse district in Braintree, MA...now, this area and this warehouse and this trucking company that runs out of there are affiliated according to the FBI and private investigators through sources to the "Whitey" Bulger mob.

Michael Corbin: That's significant.

Indira Singh: It is very significant, because the Boston FBI rated by the organization that rates FBI considers the Boston FBI as one of the most corrupt in the nation, because they basically let the mob run wild with murders and whatever else.

Michael Corbin: Yeah.

Indira Singh: But going back to this address in Braintree, MA, listed there are a bunch of names and five companies. Five companies where the people running these companies are closely affiliated with Ptech. One of the companies we've just talked about is Care International. Another one is Ecom. Another one is Logan Furniture. Another one is Bannon Information Technology. The

interesting thing about these things is that they all share the same address as a warehouse. It's one room in a warehouse. If you go...now, I've visited 20 Plain St., Braintree some time ago. And I went there and went inside and went upstairs, and it's basically just a warehouse. There are no offices. And the companies located there share one huge warehouse.

And when you take a look at what's going on there, there's a lot of trucking in and out. I walked up to the Logan Furniture people and I spoke with them. I asked them, "Why do you call this Logan Furniture?" And they said, "Because of Logan Airport". And the person who runs Logan Furniture is an Emad Muntasser. His name comes up all over the Muslim Brotherhood terror financing and other operatives. So, Bannon Information Technology...these are all fronts. They're all cutouts. They're just names. Bannon Information Technology is actually a Texas-incorporated company with an address at 20 Plain St. and in the Sudan. Also collocated there is something called the Geneva Capital Group.

Michael Corbin: Ooh.

Indira Singh: And the Geneva Capital Group is kind of interesting, because it's connected with a small Wall Street company with none other than [Zalmay Mamozy] Khalilzad in charge. Khalilzad, that name comes up in the Bush administration regarding Afghanistan and Ambassadors and people in key positions in Afghanistan and in Iraq.

Now, what we have here is part of an elaborate money-laundering scheme. They were involved with not only smuggling to Bosnia and Chechnya, but they were involved with smuggling to Switzerland, Lebanon, you name the Middle Eastern country. They were shipping stuff back and forth from there. But at this point we need to stop and see what we have. The people behind this quad of companies through their associations have well-publicized and historical access right into both Presidents Bush and into President Clinton's administration.

Michael Corbin: Hmmm.

Indira Singh: Now, this is a matter not of conspiracy theory.

Michael Corbin: No.

Indira Singh: These are accusations and these are charges that were brought against all of these people in court. But we are going to move now into the other aspect of what was going on with Bannon Information Technology, that has never been made public, and Care International.

Michael Corbin: OK.

Indira Singh: When I started investigating Care International, they still had a website up. When I started investigating Bannon Information Technology, their website had come down. When we took a look at these websites, it was clear that there was no business being run out of these. They were just fronts. A private investigative firm investigated both websites and took a look at it and deconstructed it and came across some very strange pages. The pages they determined were quite likely used for conveying information through the use of steganography. Now, steganography is the technique that Al-Qaeda and the 9/11 operatives used quite extensively. And what it means, how it works is as follows. You can have a page on a website and a picture. And embedded in the picture are basically documents, other instructions, text messages, E-Mails, Word documents, whatever the content can be embedded in there. And if anyone looks at the website, all they see in a harmless looking picture.

Michael Corbin: Right. I've heard this stuff before. This is kind of superspy kind of stuff, isn't it?

Indira Singh: It's absolutely superspy stuff. And at the time that I looked at it, you can pretty much tell what is used for steganography to the practiced eye. It's something that I've been...I've had some skills in it myself going back to the mid '80s...actually, to the late '80s and early '90s.

Michael Corbin: Umm hmm.

Indira Singh: And so you begin to recognize what it is. And sure enough, we were going through, when I was being debriefed at CERT, the Carnegie Mellon institute that worked with the Secret Service on cybercrimes, which they considered Ptech to be a cybercrime because of the software from being PROMIS 2, as they suspected – we looked at the sites, and these experts looked at it and said, “steganography”. And it doesn’t take a rocket scientist in this day and age to figure something like that out. There’s software that will deconstruct the images and try...you can at least find that something is there, even if you can’t decode it.

Michael Corbin: Right.

Indira Singh: So, one of the pages was extraordinarily significant. Because once you sort of navigated your way through it, you went to lower and lower levels, and all of a sudden, there were a set of pages where the codeword “Babylon” was featured very heavily. So again, this is a point to stop and say, “What do we have here?”

Michael Corbin: Umm hmm.

Indira Singh: We have here a set of companies that have been covered up, that had ties to Geneva, ties to Wall Street, ties to Khalilzad, ties that were on FBI lists in both Boston and Chicago, where good FBI agents were stymied in their efforts to research them. And at the core of these companies in Braintree is a codeword for an operation called “Babylon”. Now, what is significant about this when we started looking at it is that Babylon, of course, is Iraq. And the significance about this is that these people funding the smuggling of stuff; they were smuggling it into the areas around Iraq and into Iraq itself. When I first came across this and the WMD search in Iraq, I thought that, for sure, they would find it. Because, from what I could see, we were shipping it to them.

Michael Corbin: Umm hmm.

Indira Singh: And if not in Iraq, then definitely around Iraq. And when we thought about what would be useful to be shipped from the United States, well, it wouldn’t be uranium or things like that; it would be enabling technologies, blueprints to build WMDs. And that is exactly what the people within Ptech, who blew the whistle themselves, said, “Listen, we’re not shipping anything. What is being smuggled out of here is not going to be drugs or whatever. It’s going to be blueprints and it’s going to be things like diamonds, in which you settle.” That’s the currency of money laundering. And given Yassin al-Qadi’s affiliation with the diamond business in South Africa, the blood diamonds, that is exactly what they suspected were being shipped.

Michael Corbin: Umm hmm.

Indira Singh: So, it was definitely a key part how they coordinated their activities for Iraq. There is no question that these ties go right back to the neocons, to the Bush administration, to the people within the Bush and the Clinton administration [that] we find popping up through our nation’s scandals.

Michael Corbin: Umm hmm.

Indira Singh: When we saw the “Babylon”, I took this to some of the experts in world-wide terrorism and narcoterrorism and money laundering to support terrorist activities. And their interpretation of this was very significant. They agreed totally. And I said to one of them, “Don’t you see that this indicts the entire Bush administration and the neocon cabal that seems to be a part of the larger group?” And they didn’t say anything. They were absolutely...they felt they had honed in and zeroed in on the Muslim Brotherhood, and yet at the same time honed in on the plan to invade Iraq.

Michael Corbin: Yeah. Indira, hold that thought. We’ve gotta take the news break, OK? When we come back, we’ll continue. Excellent work by the way.

Indira Singh: Thank you.

Michael Corbin: And I’m hoping that getting this information out, it’s going to inspire good men

and women in law enforcement to look deeper into this situation and get this stuff brought out and get the people responsible prosecuted. Anyway, we'll take the news break, we'll come back, OK.

=BREAK=

Michael Corbin: We continue with this edition of "*A Closer Look*", and again we're talking with Indira Singh, and we're gonna go back to her in a moment. I just want you folks to know that currently we're not opening the lines, yet, she's got a lot of ground to cover, but what we will do today at some point during the interview, is we will open lines in case anybody has any comments or question for her about this incredible story. ... OK, let's jump back where we were before we went to the news break and we'll pick it up there, OK?

Indira Singh: OK. Now, we have this project codenamed "Babylon", operating out of the Ptech money laundering, smuggling cutouts fronts in Braintree. And the one that is of interest at this point is Geneva Capital Group, which is connected with Khalilzad on Wall Street. When I was investigating this, a couple of Houston attorneys... I was in contact with them and leave it to the listeners imaginations who they might be – they brought to my attention that Ghorbanifar, who was involved with the Plamegate situation, well, when Iran Contra hit, about the time Fawn [Hall] and Ollie North were shredding their papers, Ghorbanifar, the Iranian arms dealer with connections to the Mossad, was in his office in Switzerland. And in the same building, that he was in, was another company called Potomac Capital. And Potomac Capital is extremely significant.

And when I started making connections between what these companies, Geneva Capital, Potomac Capital, and all these other cutouts and fronts had in common, I was warned that I'm into the brain and soul of the "modern evil kingdom; so be very careful." So, this is coming to me from people who are basically [inaudible] operatives who are pretty tipped-off at where this country is going and telling me that I'm on the right track. Well, anytime somebody tells me I'm on the right track, I always think I'm not, but in this case, I was. Now, this gets back to... what are all these, you know, alphabet soups and myriads of names and cutouts – what does it all mean?

Michael Corbin: Yeah.

Indira Singh: You can't keep track of it, and people already have enough on their minds making a living these days. Well, basically what it comes back to is what a very good New York reporter [Christopher Byron] called "The Lair of The Octopus." "The Octopus" was something that Danny Casolaro, who investigated PROMIS, has called... he had excitedly told people that he discovered this evil thing really running the show, and he called it "The Octopus." So, here it is in September 2001, after 9/11, and this reporter, investigative journalist in New York writes an article, saying he has discovered that the lair of "The Octopus" is the OECD [Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development], which is basically a grouping of European Union and U.S.A. banks and governments, which he discovered were the kings of money laundering world-wide.

Michael Corbin: What does OECD stand for?

Indira Singh: The OECD... it is basically a consortium of European and U.S. banks – and I forgot what the acronym really means, because I have been using it for so long, it is one of those where you just take it for granted. The Organization of Economic Something or Something. It is an organization that includes European Union and U.S.A. banks and governments, and they are basically the kings of money laundering world-wide. And the sole purpose of the cabal's group in running that is to fund the age of terror, the new economy of terror – and if you think of that conspiracy, read Loretta Napoleoni's book, "Modern Jihad". She has done 30 years of research into it. She is Italian, by the way, and knows from where she speaks.

Basically, he just tied together the nexus of narcoterrorism and money laundering through a myriad of fronts, a hierarchical connection of fronts. The fronts that are significant are the ones such as Geneva Capital and Potomac Capital, and of course all the offshore houses on the Isle of Man, the Cayman Islands, and so on and so forth.

Michael Corbin: Umm hmm.

Indira Singh: Everytime we look into this, it goes back to the same dirty group of people, which involves Cheney, who pretty much runs these operations in Nigeria as well as other places, [inaudible], which shows up in the Bush family connections on the Philippines – the Philippine gold and money that was looted and brought through a covert funding channel called Five Star. That all came out... interestingly enough, members of the CIA got really upset after Valerie Plame was outed and all those good guys got killed, and they validated a number of things to me regarding that. And it just goes on and on and on.

So basically, the [inaudible] of “The Octopus”, according to Christopher Byron... and the article came from Belize no less with the OECD.

Michael Corbin: Umm hmm, yeah.

Indira Singh: This is an unholy web, it's so entangled, it's so hidden – by the time one researcher gets any traction on any of this [and] they get wind of it, the names are changed, they shut down and pop up somewhere else. It's been a game of catch me if you can for 50 years.

Michael Corbin: Yes, like a shell game.

Indira Singh: A shell game.

Michael Corbin: Yeah.

Indira Singh: And that's a very interesting word, because what Loretta said, what her thesis was, and she proved it, she said: “We are no longer countries; we are run by terror shell organizations”; and the pattern of the shell organization always has someone in the Bush Crime Family cabal involved with it – the same pattern of looting, the same money laundering conduit. For instance, right now I think it is very interesting that Plamegate is being trotted out, because we know that nothing is gonna happen there...

Michael Corbin: Right.

Indira Singh: ...even if they got Rove. Rove is not a political figure, he is not an elected official, he is just a [inaudible], who occupies a notoriously and dangerously high-level role in the White House and controls a lot of policy, he is not elected. They never gonna get to the people on the top of this, they might get some of the foot soldiers, but they'll be slapped on the wrists, and that will be that.

Michael Corbin: Yeah.

Indira Singh: But basically, the looting that has happened in this country, starting with the S&Ls and even before that, the assassinations, the shameful incidents of Iran Contra and BCCI – these were opportunities for Americans to wake up and notice that the same names were involved and get very worried. At this point, it is possibly too late, because the whole blueprint for this age of terror is based on terror shell states, which involve the same people, the same money laundering fronts, the same looting crimes, which is now global, and the same disenfranchisement of the ordinary civilian.

Michael Corbin: Umm hmm, yeah.

Indira Singh: And one of the things that we want to do is at this point to stop the catch me if you can game...

Michael Corbin: Right.

Indira Singh: ...because if you take a look at what really is going on... another smoke signal was Harriet Miers's nomination [as U.S. Supreme Court Judge on October 3, 2005].

Michael Corbin: Right.

Indira Singh: Well, Harriet Miers knew all along that she was not going to be serious about it, because she never even filled out her papers – the papers that they send you to fill in so that they can do an investigative background.

Michael Corbin: Right.

Indira Singh: She had absolutely no intention. She has been basically changing diapers for W. since he got into trouble with the Texas Air National Guard, and she has been playing clean up and cover up there. Interesting thing about Miers is that in playing that game, if you know where to look at in her background, she is very connected with a firm called Gtech, which is in a lot of trouble, has been cited for a lot of fraudulent activities down in Brazil, and they, of course, make lottery machines, which by the way use the same technology as voting machines, inside they're the same, and Gtech had contracted to the Lottery Commission in Texas – that is a whole other investigation in and of itself. But she was nothing more than a smokescreen

Michael Corbin: Yeah, I was considering her like a deflection, a kind of distraction to keep the eyes turned away from other things that were going on.

Indira Singh: Right. Here is the problem as I see it, Patrick Fitzgerald is case in point; we're at the point now having not really nailed [inaudible] for these past seditious transgressions. They're in a position right now, where they're calling the shots on what is terrorism, who is the terrorist, and what they can do about it, including the use of torture has been approved by this administration. The term terrorism has never been adequately defined, so, apparently if you sit on a sidewalk and they decide they have reason to get you off the street, they can lock you up for terrorism – I mean, this is an extreme example, but that's exactly where this is headed.

Michael Corbin: Well, yeah.

Indira Singh: There is no way that we gonna get these people in a court of law at this point, because they control it so well.

Michael Corbin: They got it all structured at the chokepoints of this nation.

Indira Singh: Yes, they do. A lot of people ask me, “Well, Indira, you have been looking at this for three or four years and you have more dots more legal quality documentation, what you gonna do with it?”

Michael Corbin: Yeah. OK, Indira hold that, we'll gonna come back and go into that as well, OK?

Indira Singh: OK.

Michael Corbin: Great. A gallery of rogue players. If you look at the Bush administration, President Bush has been very busy appointing past associates to key places in government, [John D.] Negroponte one of them, Porter Goss from the CIA another one, you just go down the line and you begin to wonder, “What are we dealing with here?” Now, as Indira's research has progressed, she was able to tie a lot of this stuff back to Iran Contra and even a little bit beyond that. The American people, it just amazes me, because I remember the Iran Contra hearings in the late 80s; I was fascinated by them. But, you know, even me at that time being uninitiated into the deep research that I've done and that other people have done, I could still tell that something was drastically wrong. I find it amazing that the American people, even with that incident, would kind of just sit back and take a backseat role in this, not being outraged over the fact that our very own government was distributing and hooking kids on drugs.

This goes back to things that I have said before. What is the justification? Is National Security a justification to commit moral wrongs? Does it mean, just because they say, “Well, it is for National Security,” that they have a blind cheque, they are above the law? I remind you, people, that when you get into that mindset about the idea that some people may be above the law – we are a nation of laws, and when you throw that out the window, just as we've seen with the illegal alien invasion of this country, we are turning a blind eye to the rule of law, and I think that can only lead to anarchy,

or at least a serious breakdown in our society, which is of course, where these people want it to go. They want to take it to that extreme. And as Indira has said, her research has borne out the idea we are entering what they call the new age of terrorism – a terrorist economy. Indira, would you like to pick up from there?

Indira Singh: Yes, I would, because the blueprint for an age of terror, for a terrorist economy, has been looked at very [inaudible] and with extreme fear by serious experts in this business, and it is real, and it basically means that the civilian will have no rights and he'll become just a resource and an asset of the government to be utilized in whatever way...

Michael Corbin: Yeah, an economic unit of measurement to them.

Indira Singh: Exactly. And what you saw in “Katrina” is exactly going to be the response for any fallout as the incidents and events in this age of terror are conducted. In other words, if you think that FEMA [Federal Emergency Management Agency], which stands for...people should really remember, that it is an emergency *management* agency; they are not necessarily running in to help you, they are there to manage the assets and the resources that are important to a terror-driven cabal or set of government.

Now, I believe, that we are talking about the fact that this is going on for so long, and that I must have followed about 300-500 threads, significant, threads, that tie back, as you go back in time, to the same group of people – and indeed, it goes back to the assassination of JFK, which I don't get into because that's too far back in my research, but I do note that significant links are there.

But as we come forward, you know, sometimes they ask me, “What are you gonna do with all of this information, who are you gonna take it to?” And Patrick Fitzgerald today, I think, has a very significant day in our nation's history, again, because this is the day, this was D-Day for the indictments, and this is when we gonna find out whether he was going to be a real player instead of a coverup artist. And we find out that he is essentially, even if handed down the rumored 22 indictments today or not...it wasn't going to make a dent, any dent at all in significantly changing this. And sometimes I sit down and wonder, what have I done, you know, other than have stellar information about this stuff, unimpeachable information about this stuff, where do I take it to?

Michael Corbin: Yeah, sure.

Indira Singh: I've tried to take it to...

Michael Corbin: You've testified before committees.

Indira Singh: Well, I've testified in two Senator's offices, I've testified to a number of Congress people, I've testified to the Open Committee, the 9/11 Open Committee, I had people on the left and on the right and up and down tell me that, “Well, you know, if you really listen to her, she is not making any accusations, she just states facts.” And that I think still is true; but what I'm going to do is move forward from that, because indeed, I always thought that we could get a clean day in court; I always thought the American people could rally together and manage to get a clean day in court, if we could one clean day in court, we would end this. That is not going to happen. I have been saying quite openly, “Either give us our clean day in court or take a very dark black one in the back alley,” and I don't want to see that happen, because it is unnecessary, that is not what America is about, and surely, we can forestall this, but this plays right into the hands of people who are gearing up for this age of terror, because that is exactly what these people want.

Michael Corbin: Yeah. Indira, hold on, we're going to take our break. I just want to let you know, too, this is now official: Libby has just been charged in a 5-count, 22-page federal indictment. He resigned his job at the White House. The charges included two felony counts for making false statements to mislead the Grand Jury. He is also charged with obstruction of justice and two perjury counts, 5 indictments again were handed down in total. Karl Rove is still free, OK. And as Indira has said, Patrick Fitzgerald is probably serving his tactical damage control purpose very well. Anyway, when we'll come back from the break, we'll gonna take calls. Indira Singh is my guest,

we'll continue. I am Michael Corbin. You are listening to "A Closer Look"...

=BREAK=

Michael Corbin: We continue with this edition of "A Closer Look", and again joining me is Indira Singh. She is a whistleblower. We got a lot of calls off air, Indira, about your background, people are curious about your background, your credentials, if you will, we will get into that in just a second, but I want to open lines at this particular point for people to call-in if they have any questions or comments, particularly the folks that are in the 9/11 Truth movement should find your story and your research quite interesting, because you have in independent ways refuted every official explanation for 9/11 that there is out there, and as you have said, you've talked to key people in government, but nothing seems to happen. It's just a sad ... If you could just take a moment, before we go back into the meat of this, Indira, give us...give the audience...just kind of bring them up to speed on who you are and how you kind of made the entrée into this murky world.

Indira Singh: OK, I'd be happy to. The easiest way for me to answer that, if you google my name, Indira Singh and 9/11 or WTC, because there are one or two others out there, you'll get a lot of the documentation on me. But very quickly, I have a very high-level information technology and financial Wall Street background, I've been around the block a number of times in different IT capacities, including the fact that I've started [at the end of the 1980s / beginning of the 1990s] something called "TibetNet", to bring technology to the Tibetan Government-in-Exile.

And at the time of 9/11, I was working as a senior consultant to JPMorgan Chase in their Risk areas, and I also at that time was working as a senior consultant to an organization in Washington D.C. called the Interoperability Clearing House [ICH], that had ties with the CIA and with DARPA [Defense Advanced Research Project Agency].

Michael Corbin: Umm hmm.

Indira Singh: I did not work for DARPA, but I worked with the ICH, and my purpose there was to fund a company that would produce technology that I could use [inaudible] to develop blueprints to stop bad things from happening on large scales.

Michael Corbin: In the banking industry.

Indira Singh: Yeah. My field was...I was merging the fields of Risk Management and Enterprise Architecture, and I was pioneering some pretty interesting stuff, I was really focused on that. And as a result, I know from...I know [inaudible] people on the inside, I am a little appalled that when Ptech fell in my lap and I just thought that since I have worked on Wall Street and so many of us have been personally hurt, lost people, friends and so on, not for a moment did I think that people would not stand by me and back me up. And that in the end really reveals how scared they were and how stupid and naïve I was...

Michael Corbin: Umm hmm.

Indira Singh: ...and I admit to that freely that I didn't understand what was going on. They did, and they backed off. The answer I've got over and over again is, "I got family, I got to be worried about it." "Worried about what? You know, we are exposing terrorists." And they said, "You don't understand." "Well, then tell me and speak out about it." And they won't. And I would say, "What kind of a world are you creating for your children..."

Michael Corbin: Right.

Indira Singh: "...if you do this?" – So, in my position at JPMorgan Chase, I was involved with Credit Risk, Operational Risk and different Risk areas, and I know a lot of the money laundering vehicles or vehicles that can be used for money laundering and were used to cover up a lot of things. That is a whole other topic that we need to get into, because the people on Enron and the recent WorldCom slap on the wrists...I know where the bodies lie so to speak in that.

Michael Corbin: Umm hmm, umm hmm.

Indira Singh: And again, it comes back to the fact, as I saw in the terrorism investigation, it's exactly the same pattern: They'll reveal a little, but once it gets close to these names and these companies and these cutouts and these fronts, they shut it down.

Michael Corbin: [Inaudible].

Indira Singh: Absolutely. We need to stop playing that game, this conspiracy catch me if you can, you're this, you're that, we need to stop playing it immediately.